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Distance-Based Formation Control

- Interaction rule for follower agent $i$:

$$x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{state (position)}$$

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\|x_i - x_j\|)}{\partial x_i} = - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(\|x_i - x_j\|)(x_i - x_j)$$

$\mathcal{E}_{ij} : \text{pair-wise edge-tension energy}$

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij}(\|x_i - x_j\|) = 0 \text{ if } \|x_i - x_j\| = d_{ij}$$

- Weighted consensus protocol ($w_{ij}$ depends on $\|x_i - x_j\|$)

$w_{ij}$ is a function of the distance between agents $i$ and $j$. The energy function $\mathcal{E}_{ij}$ is positive definite, ensuring stability of the formation control system.
Motivation

- Assume some agents (leaders) can be arbitrarily controlled
  - Remaining agents (followers) obey the original interaction rule
  - Leaders’ motion is considered as the inputs to the network

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_f d}
\]

\[
x_f \in \mathbb{R}^{N_f d}, \quad x_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N_\ell d}
\]

\[
\dot{x}_f(t) = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(x_f, x_\ell)^T}{\partial x_f}
\]

\[
\dot{x}_\ell(t) = u(t)
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}(x(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{ij}(\|x_i - x_j\|)
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } (i,j) \notin E
\]

\[
(N_f \text{ followers and } N_\ell \text{ leaders})
\]

How the network can be adaptively changed to maximize the effectiveness of leader’s inputs?

How can we measure the impact of leader’s input to the network?
Evaluate Leader’s Influence on the Network

• **Reachability/controllability** [Rahmani, Mesbahi & Egerstedt 2009]
  – Global point-to-point property (arbitrary configurations)
  – Long-term index

• **Instantaneous network response**
  – Local property (depends on a particular configuration)
  – Short-term index

The instantaneous index can be more useful under a dynamic situation (e.g., change the topology adaptively to maximize the leader’s effect)

- **Manipulability** index of leader-follower networks [Kawashima & Egerstedt, CDC2011]
- **Responsiveness**
Robot-arm manipulability


\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}^T W_r \dot{r} & \quad \text{end-effector velocity} \\
\dot{\theta}^T W_\theta \dot{\theta} & \quad \text{angular velocity of joints}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{r} : \text{states of end-effector} \\
\theta : \text{joint angles} \\
W_r, W_\theta > 0 : \text{weight matrices}
\end{cases}
\]

Kinematic relation

\[
r = f(\theta), \quad \dot{r} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta}
\]

Velocity of end-effector is directly connected with the angular velocity of joints.

Leader-follower manipulability

\[
m = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_f^T Q_f \dot{\mathbf{x}}_f}{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\ell^T Q_\ell \dot{\mathbf{x}}_\ell}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}_f(t) & = u(t) \quad \text{given} \\
\mathbf{\dot{x}}_f(t) & = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}_f, \mathbf{x}_\ell)^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}_f}
\end{align*}
\]

Dynamics of agents

We need integral action w.r.t. time.

Can we get more direct connection between \(\dot{x}_\ell(t)\) and \(\dot{x}_f(t)\)?
Approximation of the Dynamics

**Def: Rigid-link approximation** [Kawashima & Egerstedt CDC2011]

- Followers are fast enough to perfectly maintain the desired distance $d_{ij} \quad \forall (i,j) \in E \quad \forall t$ \hspace{1cm} (E: edge set)

- Under this approximation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \| x_i - x_j \|^2 = 0 \quad \forall (i,j) \in E$$

$$\therefore \quad (x_i - x_j)^T (\dot{x}_i - \dot{x}_j) = 0 \quad \forall (i,j) \in E$$

- Rewrite with the rigidity matrix [B. Roth 1981]

$$R(x) \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix}
0^T & (x_i - x_j)^T & 0^T & -(x_i - x_j)^T & 0^T \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0^T & (x_i - x_j)^T & 0^T & -(x_i - x_j)^T & 0^T \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_i \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_j \\
\vdots \\
\end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Constraint on each connected agent pair

$$R(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{\left| E \right| \times Nd}$$
Manipulability under the Rigid-link Approximation

• **Theorem** [Kawashima & Egerstedt CDC2011]

Given the rigidity matrix

\[ R(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{|E| \times Nd} \]

\[ R(x) = \begin{bmatrix} R_f(x) & R_\ell(x) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \dot{x}_f(t) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(x)}{\partial x_f} \]

\[ \sim \quad \dot{x}_f(t) = -R_f^\dagger R_\ell \dot{x}_\ell(t) \]

\[ J(x, E) = -R_f^\dagger R_\ell \]

– Provides us the direct connection between \( \dot{x}_\ell(t) \) and \( \dot{x}_f(t) \)

**Manipulability of leader-follower networks**

\[ m = \frac{\dot{x}_f^T Q_f \dot{x}_f}{\dot{x}_\ell^T Q_\ell \dot{x}_\ell} \]

\[ \sim \]

\[ \hat{m}(\dot{x}_\ell, x, E) = \frac{\dot{x}_\ell^T J^T Q_f J \dot{x}_\ell}{\dot{x}_\ell^T Q_\ell \dot{x}_\ell} \]

\[ \hat{m} \text{ can evaluate the instantaneous effectiveness leader’s input } \dot{x}_\ell \text{ by considering current config.}(x) \text{ and network topology } (E). \]
Application Example: Effective Topology

- Given leader’s input direction, what is the most effective network topology in terms of maximizing the manipulability?

**Topology optimization**

$$\arg\max_E \hat{m}(\dot{x}_\ell, x, E) = \frac{\dot{x}_\ell^T J^T Q_f J \dot{x}_\ell}{\dot{x}_\ell^T Q_f \dot{x}_\ell}$$

(The number of edges, $|E|$, is constrained)
Application Example: Online Leader Selection

[Kawashima&Egerstedt, ACC2012]

• To move the network toward a target point, which is the most effective leader

Online leader selection \[ i_\ell(t) = \arg\max_i \hat{m}_e(i, x(t), E) \]
Limitation of Manipulability

1. Manipulability cannot compare “rigid formations” with the same agent configurations.

2. Manipulability cannot deal with “edge weights” (i.e., gains of the pair-wised edge-tension energies).

**Why?**

In the rigid-link approximation, we only considered the convergence point of the followers when the leader is moved.

How can we overcome these limitations?
Stiffness and Rigidity Indices

[Zhu&Hu, CDC09, CDC11]

• Stiffness matrix is defined based on the spring-mass analogy, and it coincides with the Hessian of the edge-tension energy $\mathcal{E}$, in the formation-control context:

$$\dot{\delta x}(t) = -H\delta x(t), \quad \delta x(0) = \delta x_0 \quad \text{where} \quad H \triangleq \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^2}\bigg|_{x=x^*}$$

• **Rigidity indices** are defined by the eigenvalues of the Hessian
  – Worst-case rigidity index (WRI): smallest eigenvalue of $H$:
    $$\lambda_r(H), \ r = \text{rank}(H) \quad (\lambda_k \geq \lambda_{k+1})$$

It dictates how fast the formation can be recovered

Complementary with the manipulability
Responsiveness

- Unifies the notions of **stiffness** and **manipulability**
  - Analyze the convergence process of the followers

\[
n(t, x, G, \delta x_\ell) = \frac{\|\delta x_f(t)\|^2}{\|\delta x_\ell\|^2}
\]

\[
t \to \infty \quad \text{Manipulability } \hat{m}
\]

\*t is often finite (leader cannot wait followers for infinite time)\*

Solve the following zero-state response with \( \delta x_f(0) = 0 \):

\[
\delta x_f(t) = -H_{ff}\delta x_f(t) - H_{f\ell}\delta x_\ell,
\]

where \( H_{ff} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}}{\partial x_f^2} \bigg|_{x^*} \), \( H_{f\ell} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}}{\partial x_f \partial x_\ell} \bigg|_{x^*} \)

using the fact \( H_{f\ell} = -H_{ff} \left( 1_{N_f} \otimes I_d \right) \) and the decomposition \( H_{ff} = V \Lambda V^T \)

\[
\Lambda = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r): \text{non-zero eigenvalues}
\]

\[
V = [v_1, \ldots, v_r]: \text{eigen vec.}
\]
Responsiveness takes into account the rate of convergence and the convergence point simultaneously.

- How fast followers respond? (evaluated by given time $t$)
- How much followers finally respond? (evaluated by given input, i.e., leader’s motion, $\delta x_\ell$)
Comparison of Responsiveness

\[ \nu(t, \delta x_\ell, x, E) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (1 - e^{-\lambda_k t})^2 \left( \nu_k^T \left( I_{N_f} \otimes I_d \right) \delta x_\ell \right)^2 \]

1. Responsiveness can be used to compare rigid formations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Is rigid?</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Resp. ( \nu(t = 2) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.9953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimal Edge Weights (Link Resource Allocation)

- Link weights can be viewed as the amount of resource.
- Given limited amount of total resource, find the optimal link resource allocation in terms of maximizing the convergence rate of the responsiveness $\lambda_r(H_{ff})$.

$$\text{maximize } \lambda_r(H_{ff})$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_{\{i,j\}\in E} c_{ij}^2 \leq C \text{ and } c_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } \{i, j\} \notin E$$

- $r$ – rank of $H_{ff}$ ($\lambda_k \geq \lambda_{k+1}$)
- $C$ – total amount of resource
- $c_{ij}$ – weight for link $\{i, j\}$
Optimal Edge Weights (Link Resource Allocation)
Numerical Results

 resizeMode

2. Responsiveness can deal with edge weights
Conclusion

• We proposed the *responsiveness* of leader-follower networks, which unifies the previously defined notions of *stiffness* and *manipulability*.

• It measures the impact of leader’s input in terms of
  – how fast / how large (finally) followers respond.

\[ v(t, \delta x_\ell, x, G) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_k t}\right)^2 \left(v_k^T \left(1_{N_f} \otimes I_d\right) \delta x_\ell\right)^2 \]

• Future work
  – Application to *human-swarm interaction* (e.g., networked robots adaptively changes gains and topologies to maximize human inputs injected through the leader).
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